Government towards fulfilment of the export obligation condition. The defaulting unit is also liable to other action including the initiation of legal proceedings for confiscation of goods to the extent of shortfall in the obligation and refusal for further approval and or other liabilities, penalties and consequences under the provisions of Foreign Trade (Development and Regulation) Act, 1992 and the orders and rules made thereunder, as may be decided by the Government.

Reserved commodities for SSIs

†2409. PROF. ALKA BALRAM KSHATRIYA: Will the Minister of SMALL SCALE INDUSTRIES be pleased to state:

(a) the names of commodities whose production are reserved under Small Scale Industries;

(b) whether these rules have been violated during the last two years; and

(c) if so, the details thereof alongwith the number of such cases?

THE MINISTER OF SMALL SCALE INDUSTRIES (SHRI MAHABIR PRASAD): (a) The list of 326 products reserved for exclusive manufacture by small scale industries is given in the Annexure. [*See* Appendix 208 Annexure No. 9]

(b) and (c) Government has occasionally received information of violation of the statutory reservation of products for exclusive manufacture in the small scale industry (SSI) segment, by non-SSI units. A non-SSI unit is allowed to manufacture items reserved for manufacture in the small-scale segment after obtaining licence under the Industries (Development & Regulation) Act, 1951, provided it undertakes the obligation to export a minimum of 50% of the production. The Government has initiated action in three cases of violation, in accordance with the provisions of the Industries (Development & Regulation) Act, 1951. Such cases are listed in the Statement.

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

Statement

Cases of violation by non-SSIs

Sl. No.	Name of the Violator	Name of the reserved items	Name of the court	Date of filing Complaint
1.	M/s Tupperware India Pvt. Ltd; & M/s Dart Mfg. India Pvt. Ltd; Hyderabad	Plastic products (injection moulded)	III Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Hyderabad	16.11.05
2.	M/s Ramswaroop Engineering Industries Ltd; Kolkata	Stranded Wire	Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Kolkata	12.12.05
3.	M/s Dharam Pal Satya Pal Ltd.	Ground & Processed Spices	Chief Judicial Magistrate, District Court, Noida, Distt. Gautambudhha Nagar, Uttar Pradesh.	10.01.06

Captive iron-ore mines for steel makers

2410. SHRI VIJAY J. DARDA: Will the Minister of STEEL be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Hoda Committee in its draft report said that steel makers do not require captive iron-ore mines;

(b) whether it is a fact that some of the steel makers has opposed the idea;

(c) if so, the details thereof; and

(d) the action Government propose to take in this matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF STEEL (DR. AKHILESH DAS): (a) No, Sir. Statement in annexed (*see* below).

(b) to (d) In view of (a) above, does not arise.

Statement

Brief of Recommendations of Hoda Committee on Allocation of Captive Mines to Steel Makers

- Stand-alone mining and captive mining should continue to co-exist in the country. In 2016-17 the position should be reviewed in light of the emerging situation of establishment of steel capacity in the country on the one hand and accretions to the level of iron ore resources in the country, on the other. A view can be taken at that time if the balance of advantage in grant of LAPL/PL/ML should be changed in favour of steel mills.
- In a situation of multiple applications for grant of iron ore LAPL/PL/